



PTO/SB92 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U. S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Certificate of Express Mail Under 37 CFR 1.10

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service Express Mail under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated below and is addressed to:

Mail Stop: Amendment
Commissioner For Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

EV042320014US

on July 8, 2005

Date

Express Mail Label



Geraldine Gress

Wolf, Block, Schorr and Solis-Cohen LLP
250 Park Avenue
10th Floor
New York, New York 10177-0030
Telephone: 212.986.1116; Facsimile: 212.985.0604

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

Applicant: Roger S. Hutchison
Serial No: 10/655,888
Filing Date: September 5, 2003
For: Data Storage Splitter
Enclosures: (1) Response (4 pages);
(2) Information Disclosure Statement (1 page);
(3) IDS Citation (PTO Form 1449) (1 page) & one cited reference;
(4) Acknowledgement Postcard

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.03 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.



07-11-05

Attorney Docket: DTH001.229602
Customer No.: 054042

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Roger S. Hutchison : Confirmation No.: 7192
Serial No.: 10/655,888 : Examiner: D.B. Thomas
Filed: September 5, 2003 : Group Art Unit: 3723
For: **DATA STORAGE SPLITTER**

July 8, 2005

Mail Stop: *Amendment*
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE

SIR:

In response to the Office Action dated April 11, 2005, Applicant has the following comments:

Claims 1, 7, 11, and 17 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Okuda et al., JP 06048082 (“Okuda”). The Examiner maintains that according to the English translation of the abstract, Okuda discloses that at least a part of the magnetic layer 2 of a magnetic recording card 1 is destroyed or removed by a laser device; that, for example, a plurality of grooves 3 is formed on the magnetic layer 2 of the magnetic recording card 1 by the laser device; that, as a result, a treating method for disenabling regeneration of the magnetic recording card is obtained wherein many small cutting pieces and many punching pieces are not generated; that although the disclosure